	.	
1	Ethan J. Brown (SBN 218814)	
2	ethan@bnsklaw.com Sara C. Colón (SBN 281514)	
3	sara@bnsklaw.com BROWN NERI SMITH & KHAN LLP	
4	11766 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1670	
5	Los Angeles, California 90025 Telephone: (310) 593-9890	
6	Facsimile: (310) 593-9980	
7	Attomony for Digitalist	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff DOTCONNECTAFRICA TRUST	
9		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	COUNTY OF LOS AN	GELES – CENTRAL
12	DOTCONNECTAFRICA TRUST, a Mauritius	Case No. BC607494
13	Charitable Trust;	Assigned for all purposes to the Honorable
14	Plaintiff,	Howard L. Halm
15	v.	EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS
16	INTERNET CORPORATION FOR	DECLARATION OF MOKGABUDI LUCKY MASILELA IN SUPPORT OF
17	ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS, a	ZACR'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S
18	California corporation;	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
19	Defendants.	DATE: December 22, 2016
20		TIME: 8:30 a.m.
21		DEPT: 53
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
I	.1	

EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO MASILELA DECLARATION

Evidentiary Objections to Declaration of Mokgabudi Lucky Masilela

DCA objects to the entire Masilela Declaration pursuant to Evid. Code § 352 on the grounds that his declaration is misleading as it fails to state that Defendant ZA Central Registry ("ZACR") agreed to grant the AUC ("African Union Commission") any rights to the gTLD . Africa that ZACR obtains and is therefore effectively itself an applicant for the .Africa gTLD. (Bekele Declaration, ¶33 Ex. 18, ¶22(7) ["It should be noted that the AUC shall retain all rights relating to the dotAfrica TLD"]). M. Lucky Masilela is the Chief Executive Officer of ZACR.

Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶	DCA Objection	Sustained	Overruled
¶ 3: Due to its well-known reputation for	1. Lacks personal		
independence and neutrality, as well as	knowledge (Evid.		
technical competence and operational	Code § 702)		
excellence, ZACR is the single largest	2. Lacks foundation,		
domain name registry on the African	irrelevant (Evid. Code		
continent.	§ 403)		
Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶	DCA Objection	Sustained	Overruled
¶ 4: After Internet Corporation For	1. Irrelevant (Evid.		
Assigned Names and Numbers ("ICANN")	Code § 350)		
formally launched the "New gTLD			
Program," ZACR submitted an application			
for the .Africa gTLD. I am aware that both			
ZACR and DCA submitted their respective			
applications for the .Africa gTLD in the			
Spring/ Summer of 2012. At the same			
time, ZACR also applied for, and obtained,			
the .CapeTown, .Joburg and .Durban			
gTLDs, and these gTLDs have been			
launched to the Internet public.			

DCA Objection	Sustained	Overruled
1. The Application		
Guidebook is the best		
evidence of the		
document. (Evid. Code § 1520) 2. Lacks foundation (Evid. Code § 403) 3. Lacks personal knowledge. (Evidd. Code §702)		
DCA Objection	Sustained	Overruled
1. The letters are the best evidence of the letters. (Evid. Code § 1520)		
	1. The Application Guidebook is the best evidence of the document. (Evid. Code § 1520) 2. Lacks foundation (Evid. Code § 403) 3. Lacks personal knowledge. (Evidd. Code § 702) DCA Objection 1. The letters are the best evidence of the letters. (Evid. Code §	1. The Application Guidebook is the best evidence of the document. (Evid. Code § 1520) 2. Lacks foundation (Evid. Code § 403) 3. Lacks personal knowledge. (Evidd. Code § 702) DCA Objection 1. The letters are the best evidence of the letters. (Evid. Code §

1	the African Union dated July 4, 2012. In			
2	response, ICANN's Geographic Names			
3	Panel provided ZACR with Clarifying			
4	Questions relating to deficiencies in the			
5	AUC letter of support. Attached hereto as			
6	Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the			
7	Geographic Names Panel Clarifying			
8	Questions. ZACR addressed the			
9	deficiencies and submitted an updated			
10	letter of support on or about July 2, 2013.			
11	A true and correct copy of the July 2, 2013			
12	AUC letter is attached as Exhibit B . In			
13	addition, the only nonmember, Morocco,			
14	separately provided a letter supporting			
15	ZACR's application. A true and correct			
16	copy of the March 28, 2012 Moroccan			
17	letter of support is attached as Exhibit C .			
18	Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶	DCA Objection	Sustained	Overruled
19	¶ 7: ZACR received the support of the	1. Lacks personal		
20	African Union only after the AUC	knowledge (Evid.		
21	publicized a request for proposal ("RFP").	Code § 702)		
22	This was an open bid process. The AUC	2. Lacks foundation		
23	made clear that it was only going to	(Evid. Code § 403)		
24	support one applicant. By way of			
25	background, the AUC RFP process began			
26	because it was well known that ICANN			
27	was considering a new gTLD program,			
28	including .Africa. It was in anticipation of			

1	this new gTLD program that the AUC			
2	decided to hold an RFP to support a single,			
3	qualified applicant for the African Union.			
4	This is because the AUC was specifically			
5	mandated by member states to set up the			
6	structures and modalities for the			
7	implementation of the dotAfrica (.Africa)			
8	gTLD. Details of the process are set forth			
9	in the September 29, 2015 AUC letter, a			
10	true and correct copy of which is attached			
11	hereto as Exhibit D . This letter is also			
12	available at: http://africainonespace.org/			
13	downloads/GNP.PDF			
14	Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶	DCA Objection	Sustained	Overruled
15	¶ 8: I was informed by AUC officials that	1. Hearsay (Evid.		
16	Plaintiff DotConnectAfrica Trust	Code § 1200, et seq.)		
17	("Plaintiff") chose not to participate in the			
18	RFP.			
19				
20	Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶	DCA Objection	Sustained	Overruled
21	¶ 9: Attached as Exhibit E are true and	1. Irrelevant (Evid.		
22	correct copies of the 17 "Early Warning	Code § 350)		
23	Notices" from individual African countries			
24	to Plaintiff's application. These "Early			
25	Warning Notices" are also available online			
	The state of the s			
26	at:http://africainonespace.org/			
2627				
	at:http://africainonespace.org/			

1	Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶	DCA Objection	Sustained	Overruled
2	¶ 10: The Registry Agreement between	1. Lacks personal		
3	ICANN and ZACR was effective on	knowledge (Evid.		
4	March 24, 2014 and runs for ten years.	Code §702)		
5	Yet, over two years into the Agreement,	2. Lacks foundation		
6	the .Africa gTLD has still not been	(Evid. Code § 403)		
7	delegated to ZACR. In effect, 20% of the	3. Speculative (Evid.		
8	period of the Agreement has already lapsed	Code §702)		
9	without any benefit to ZACR. This delay	4. Conclusory.		
10	has resulted in unforeseen and mounting	(Evinger v.		
11	costs, as well as lost opportunities, for the	MacDougall (1938) 28		
12 13	.Africa project.	Cal.App.2d 175.)		
14		5. Biased and		
15		misleading in that it		
16		was entered into after		
17		the initiation of the		
18		IRP process by DCA,		
19		the day after DCA		
20		requested ICANN		
21		refrain from delegating		
22		the .Africa domain		
23		based on the IRP		
24		proceeding pending,		
25		and on the grounds		
26		that the IRP ordered		
27		ICANN to refrain from		
28		further processing		

Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶ ¶ 11: ZACR has incurred considerable expenses both prior to and after entering into the Registry Agreement. The current and continuing cost due to the delay in the delegation is running at approximately \$16,632 per month. In May of 2016, ZACR previously estimated its average monthly costs at approximately \$18,386. Cost saving measures implemented by ZACR have brought the average amount of ZACR's costs down. A true and correct copy of a summary of average costs from July 2015 to October 2016 is included as Exhibit F. This is based upon a review of the monthly costs incurred from July 2015 to October 2016 for the .Africa project, including the ongoing costs related to consultants, marketing, sponsorships and related expenses. In determining these figures, we averaged the monthly expense for the .Africa project and where necessar	Code §702) 3. Speculative (Evid. Code § 1200, et seq.) 4. Conclusory (Evinger v. MacDougall (1938) 28 Cal.App.2d 175.)	Sustained	Overruled
---	--	-----------	-----------

1	Rand to U.S. dollars. These figures were			
2	configured by ZACR's finance section			
3	based on ZACR's financial records. The			
4	summary of costs listed in Exhibit F does			
5	not include any fees due to ICANN under			
6	the Registry Agreement or legal fees that			
7	ZACR had previously incurred. If we were			
8	to include actual and expected legal fees			
9	for this litigation, the ZACR finance			
10	section projects the cost figures would			
11	increase significantly beyond \$16,632 per			
12	month. The importance of maintaining			
13	visibility for the .Africa project, coupled			
14	with the ongoing need to interface with			
15	government officials throughout the			
16	African continent, makes clear that these			
17	ongoing expenses will continue during the			
18	course of this litigation.			
19	Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶	DCA Objection	Sustained	Overruled
20	¶ 12: The Loss of Net Income after Tax	1. Lacks foundation		
21	(opportunity costs) suffered by ZACR	(Evid. Code § 403)		
22	from the date of the planned delegation	2. Lacks personal		
23	following the Registry Agreement through	knowledge (Evid.		
24	December 1, 2016, are now estimated to be	Code §702)		
25	approximately \$15.5 million (U.S. dollars).	3. Speculative (Evid.		
26	These estimates were configured by	Code § 1200, et seq.)		
27	ZACR's finance section. A true and correct	4. Conclusory		
28	copy of a summary of the breakdown of	(Evinger v.		
		Druiger v.		

				l '
ZACR	a's opportunity costs are included in	MacDougall (1938) 28		
the att	ached Exhibit F. The estimated	Cal.App.2d 175.)		
numbe	er of registration numbers are based	5. Biased and		
on ZA	CR's responses to ICANN's 2012	misleading in that it		
applic	ation questions 46 – 50. ZACR	was entered into after		
researe	ched these numbers at the time of	the initiation of the		
applic	ation and the application passed	IRP process by DCA,		
ICAN	N evaluation. To be conservative,	the day after DCA		
ZACR	revised down some of these	requested ICANN		
numbe	ers based on trends in the launch of	refrain from delegating		
other i	new gTLDs. Of the \$15.5 million in	the .Africa domain		
lost op	pportunity costs, approximately \$5.8			
million	n would have been donated to the	based on the IRP		
dotAfi	rica Foundation for African online	proceeding pending,		
develo	opment. Until such time as delegation	and on the grounds		
takes p	place, the .Africa gTLD in effect	that the IRP ordered		
stagna	tes and generates no income and no	ICANN to refrain from		
value	in the marketplace. The ongoing	further processing		
delay	is also prejudicial to the gTLD itself	ZACR's application		
(no ma	atter who the operator is) in that the	until the IRP		
initial	interest surrounding the launch of	proceeding concluded.		
this do	omain name will have faded, and	See (Bekele Decl. ¶10,		
person	as who may have sought to register	Ex. 1, pp. 4, ¶¶ 16-20).		
will ha	ave lost interest.			
Lucky	Masilela Declaration ¶	DCA Objection	Sustained	Overruled
¶¶13:	Once a gTLD is delegated it starts	1. Lacks foundation		
increa	sing in value. The gTLD is at its	(Evid. Code § 403)		
lowest	t value prior to delegation and			

1	increases as the number of second level	2. Lacks personal
2	domain delegations (for example:	knowledge (Evid.
3	xyz.africa) increases. If Plaintiff is	Code §702)
4	redelegated the .Africa gTLD, it will suffer	3. Speculative (Evid.
5	no irreparable harm as it will inherit a	Code § 1200, et seq.)
6	more valuable gTLD without incurring the	4. Conclusory
7	cost to develop it.	(Evinger v.
8		MacDougall (1938) 28
9		Cal.App.2d 175.)
10		5. Irrelevant to the
11		extent that the standard
12 13		at issue is whether
14		DCA would be
15		harmed, not
16		irreparably harmed.
17		(Evid. Code § 403)
18		6. Biased and
19		misleading in that it
20		was entered into after
21		the initiation of the
22		IRP process by DCA,
23		the day after DCA
24		requested ICANN
25		refrain from delegating
26		the .Africa domain
27		based on the IRP
28		proceeding pending,

			r
	and on the grounds		
	that the IRP ordered		
	ICANN to refrain from		
	further processing		
	ZACR's application		
	until the IRP		
	proceeding concluded.		
	See (Bekele Decl. ¶10,		
	Ex. 1, pp. 4, ¶¶ 16-20).		
Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶	DCA Objection	Sustained	Overruled
¶ 14: Attached hereto as Exhibit G are true	1. Irrelevant. (Evid.		
and correct copies of exemplar printouts of	Code § 403)		
redelegations including gTLDs, from the			
Internet Assigned Numbers Authority			
("IANA") website, https://			
www.iana.org/reports. Additional			
examples can be found on the website.			
Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶	DCA Objection	Sustained	Overruled
¶ 15: Attached hereto as Exhibit H are true	1. Irrelevant. (Evid.		
and correct copies of printouts from the	Code § 403)		
following websites which discuss			
redelegation of gTLDs:			
http://domaincite.com/18849-youmight-be-			
surprised-how-many-new-gtlds-have-			
changed-hands-already;			

1	20235-minds-machines-dumps-back-end-			
2	and-registrar-in-nominet-uniregistry-deals;			
3	http://www.afilias.			
4	info/news/2003/01/02/public-interest-			
5	registry-assumes-control-			
6	org-domain-name-registry.			
7	Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶	DCA Objection	Sustained	Overruled
8	¶ 16: I am aware that ICANN builds in	1. The manual itself is		
9	time limits in its gTLD registry	the best evidence of		
10	agreements. I am further informed, based	the manual. (Evid.		
11	upon my experience in the industry and	Code § 1520)		
12	discussions with technical personnel within	,		
13	ZACR, that a re-delegation of a gTLD is			
14	entirely feasible. In fact, ICANN has			
15	prepared for this precise eventuality and			
16	issued a manual in 2013 providing step-by-			
17	step instructions for how to redelegate a			
18	gTLD. The manual, titled "User			
19	Documentation on Delegating and			
20	Redelegating a Generic Top Level Domain			
21	(gTLD)," makes clear that the process is			
22	available and feasible if necessary. A true			
23	and correct copy of the manual is attached			
24	hereto as Exhibit I . It is also available on			
25	ICANN's website:			
26	https://www.icann.org/en/			
27	system/files/files/gtld-drd-ui-10sep13-			
28	en.pdf			

1		
2	Dated: December 15, 2016	BROWN NERI SMITH & KHAN LLP
3		La Qo-
4		By:
5		Sara C. Colón
6		Attorneys for Plaintiff DOTCONNECTAFRICA TRUST
7		DOTCONNECTAL RICH TROST
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
23 24		
2 4 25		
25 26		
20 27		
28		