1 2 3 4 5	RONALD L. JOHNSTON (State Bar No. LAURENCE J. HUTT (State Bar No. SUZANNE V. WILSON (State Bar No. JAMES S. BLACKBURN (State Bar No. ARNOLD & PORTER LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 17th Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-4408 Telephone: (310) 552-2500 Facsimile: (310) 552-1191	No. 057418) 066269) o. 152399) No. 169134)	
6 7 8 9	Of Counsel: RICHARD L. ROSEN (Admitted pro hand) ARNOLD & PORTER LLP 555 Twelfth Street NW Washington, D.C. 20004-1206 Telephone: (202) 942-5000 Facsimile: (202) 942-5999	nac vice)	
10 11 12 13 14	BRIAN A. DAVIS (Admitted pro hac a VERISIGN, INC. 21355 Ridgetop Circle Dulles, Virginia 20166 Telephone: (703) 948-2300 Facsimile: (703) 450-7326 Attorneys for Plaintiff VERISIGN, INC.		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17 18	VERISIGN, INC., a Delaware	Case No. CV 04-1292 AHM (CTx)	
17	VERISIGN, INC., a Delaware corporation,	DECLARATION OF THADDEUS	
17 18	VERISIGN, INC., a Delaware	DECLARATION OF THADDEUS M. POPE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF VERISIGN, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT	
17 18 19	VERISIGN, INC., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, v. INTERNET CORPORATION FOR	DECLARATION OF THADDEUS	
17 18 19 20	VERISIGN, INC., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, v. INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS, a California corporation:	DECLARATION OF THADDEUS M. POPE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF VERISIGN, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT ICANN'S MOTION TO STRIKE Date: May 17, 2004 Time: 10:00 a m	
17 18 19 20 21	VERISIGN, INC., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, v. INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS, a California corporation; DOES 1-50,	DECLARATION OF THADDEUS M. POPE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF VERISIGN, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT ICANN'S MOTION TO STRIKE Date: May 17, 2004	
17 18 19 20 21 22	VERISIGN, INC., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, v. INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS, a California corporation:	DECLARATION OF THADDEUS M. POPE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF VERISIGN, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT ICANN'S MOTION TO STRIKE Date: May 17, 2004 Time: 10:00 a.m. Courtroom: 14 - Spring Street Bldg. Hon. A. Howard Matz [Memorandum of Points and	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	VERISIGN, INC., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, v. INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS, a California corporation; DOES 1-50,	DECLARATION OF THADDEUS M. POPE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF VERISIGN, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT ICANN'S MOTION TO STRIKE Date: May 17, 2004 Time: 10:00 a.m. Courtroom: 14 - Spring Street Bldg. Hon. A. Howard Matz [Memorandum of Points and Authorities; Evidentiary Objections; Appendix of Exhibits; and [Proposed]	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	VERISIGN, INC., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, v. INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS, a California corporation; DOES 1-50,	DECLARATION OF THADDEUS M. POPE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF VERISIGN, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT ICANN'S MOTION TO STRIKE Date: May 17, 2004 Time: 10:00 a.m. Courtroom: 14 - Spring Street Bldg. Hon. A. Howard Matz [Memorandum of Points and	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	VERISIGN, INC., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, v. INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS, a California corporation; DOES 1-50,	DECLARATION OF THADDEUS M. POPE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF VERISIGN, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT ICANN'S MOTION TO STRIKE Date: May 17, 2004 Time: 10:00 a.m. Courtroom: 14 – Spring Street Bldg. Hon. A. Howard Matz [Memorandum of Points and Authorities; Evidentiary Objections; Appendix of Exhibits; and [Proposed] Order concurrently filed and lodged	

I, THADDEUS MASON POPE, declare:

- 1. I am an attorney duly admitted to practice law in the State of California and an associate in the law firm of Arnold & Porter LLP, counsel of record in this action for plaintiff VeriSign, Inc. ("VeriSign"). I am one of the Arnold & Porter attorneys actively participating in this action on behalf of VeriSign, and I make this declaration in support of VeriSign's Opposition of the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers' ("ICANN's") Special Motion to Strike.
- 2. I have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein and, if called upon as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.

I. VERISIGN'S NEED FOR DISCOVERY

- 3. VeriSign filed its Complaint against ICANN on February 26, 2004. ICANN's special motion to strike was filed on April 20, 2004. Due to the early stage of the proceedings, counsel for the parties have not yet scheduled or held a Rule 26 conference, nor is there any agreement between the parties that discovery may commence prior to that conference. Consequently, neither party has served or responded to any discovery.
- 4. As described below, VeriSign seeks leave to conduct limited discovery that is essential to its opposition of ICANN's special motion to strike. Specifically, VeriSign requests the opportunity to depose ICANN, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6) and to serve one set of document requests. True and correct copies of VeriSign's proposed deposition notice and document requests are submitted, collectively, as Exhibit 54 to VeriSign's Appendix of Exhibits filed concurrently herewith. VeriSign anticipates that, absent unforeseen circumstances, this discovery could be completed in ninety days.
- 5. Without the benefit of any discovery, VeriSign lacks facts essential to its defense that the anti-SLAPP statute does not apply to ICANN's October 3, 2003

Suspension Ultimatum letter (the "October 3 letter"). Specifically, VeriSign lacks facts as to whether ICANN's October 3 letter was made with a good faith belief in a legally viable claim against VeriSign, and whether ICANN was seriously contemplating such a lawsuit, because these facts are exclusively within ICANN's control. Specifically, VeriSign seeks facts through the deposition of ICANN and documents concerning: (i) ICANN's consultations with legal counsel prior to sending the October 3 letter; (ii) any legal investigation regarding the viability of its alleged claims against VeriSign prior to October 3; and (iii) communications with its Board of Directors and officers between August 1 and October 3 concerning a potential lawsuit against VeriSign. If ICANN, in fact, consulted with legal counsel and discussed a potential lawsuit against VeriSign with its officers and directors, such information exists and could readily be discovered through deposition testimony and document requests, such as those submitted concurrently as Exhibit 54 to VeriSign's Appendix of Exhibits. Moreover, VeriSign contends that the October 3 letter was sent in bad faith and based on information that ICANN knew to be false. Such facts would demonstrate that the litigation privilege and anti-SLAPP statute do not apply to the October 3 letter or, consequently, to VeriSign's second through fourth claims for relief. 6.

6. In addition, because no discovery has occurred, VeriSign also lacks facts relevant to its tortious interference claim regarding ICANN's knowledge of VeriSign's agreement with a third party and ICANN's intent to disrupt that agreement by demanding suspension of VeriSign's Site Finder service. Although ICANN's knowledge and intent are matters within ICANN's sole control, VeriSign has identified postings on ICANN's Internet website which reference VeriSign's contract with a third party in connection with Site Finder. While no substitute for direct discovery from ICANN regarding its knowledge or intent, these postings support an inference that ICANN knew about VeriSign's contract and, thus, discovery on this issue would lead to relevant and admissible evidence. (See Turner Decl. ¶ 97; App. Exs. 39-40.) Specifically, VeriSign seeks discovery regarding: (i) communications concerning the

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

existence of a contract between VeriSign and a third party in connection with VeriSign's Site Finder service; and (ii) ICANN communications addressing the impact of ICANN's demand that VeriSign suspend its Site Finder service upon this contract. (See App. Exs. 39-40.) This information could be readily obtained through deposition testimony and document requests. Id. Moreover, evidence concerning ICANN's knowledge of VeriSign's contract with a third party and its intent to disrupt that agreement could be critical to VeriSign's opposition of the anti-SLAPP motion because, if the Court determines that the anti-SLAPP statute applies to this claim, VeriSign must make a prima facie showing on these elements.

Likewise, VeriSign also requires discovery in connection with its claim for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing which is incorporated into its second, third, fifth, and sixth claims for relief. As alleged in VeriSign's Complaint, ICANN repeatedly has conditioned, in bad faith, its performance of its contractual obligations on VeriSign's assent to regulation by ICANN of matters outside the scope of the parties' agreement. (See Complaint $\P\P$ 31, 45-47, 60-63, 94, 101, 115, 124.) To prove this claim, VeriSign must establish, among other elements, that ICANN engaged in conduct separate and apart from the performance of obligations under the agreement without good faith. Evidence reflecting ICANN's good faith with respect to the registry agreement is solely within ICANN's control. Specifically, VeriSign seeks facts concerning: (i) ICANN's interpretation of the term "Registry Services," as defined in the parties' registry agreement; (ii) ICANN's refusal to authorize VeriSign's deployment of the Internationalized Domain Names ("IDNs"); (iii) ICANN's imposition of conditions on VeriSign's Wait List Service ("WLS"); (vi) ICANN's basis for demanding changes to VeriSign's Marketing Promotion Program; and (iv) ICANN's basis for demanding amendments to the parties' registry agreement in connection with VeriSign's ConsoliDate service. (See App. Ex. 54.) As part of its record keeping in the ordinary course of business, ICANN likely maintains memoranda, correspondence, analyses, and comments reflecting this information and this

information could be readily obtained through deposition testimony and document requests. *Id.* Moreover, evidence concerning ICANN's good faith behind its course of performance under the registry agreement may be necessary to VeriSign's opposition of the anti-SLAPP motion because if the Court determines that the anti-SLAPP statute applies, VeriSign must make a prima facie showing on these elements.

II. ICANN'S GENERAL COUNSEL

8. Submitted concurrently as Exhibit 55 to VeriSign's Appendix of Exhibits is a true and correct copy of an ICANN Announcement, dated September 10, 2003, available at www.icann.org/announcements/announcement-10sep03.htm, in which ICANN announces the appointment of John Jeffrey as ICANN's new General Counsel, and explains that ICANN's corporate legal matters had been handled by Esme Smith during ICANN's search for a new general counsel. According to an ICANN Announcement dated May 29, 2003, its prior General Counsel, Louis Touton, was scheduled to resign from ICANN in late June 2003. A true and correct copy of this announcement is available at www.icann.org/announcements/announcement-22may03.htm, and is submitted concurrently as Exhibit 56 to VeriSign's Appendix of Exhibits.

III. ICANN'S FAILURE TO ENTER AGREEMENTS WITH ccTLDS

- 9. Submitted concurrently as Exhibit 57 to VeriSign's Appendix of Exhibits is ICANN's *Preliminary Budget Fiscal Year 2003-2004 (FY04)*, dated March 17, 2003, available at www.icann.org/financials/preliminary-budget-15mar03.htm, in which ICANN states "Since there are relatively few agreements with ccTLDs, most ccTLDs are under no legal obligation to support ICANN financially."
- 10. Submitted concurrently as Exhibit 58 to VeriSign's Appendix of Exhibits is a true and correct copy of a report, titled Report by ICANN to the United States

 Department of Commerce Re: Progress Toward Objectives of Memorandum of

Understanding, dated June 30, 2003, available at www.icann.org/general/status-report-30jun03.htm, in which ICANN states that it has entered into a total of thirteen agreements with ccTLDs.

- 11. Submitted concurrently as Exhibit 59 to VeriSign's Appendix of Exhibits is a true and correct copy of a page from ICANN's website, titled *ccTLD Agreements*, available at www.icann.org/cctlds/agreements.html, which lists six ccTLD "Sponsorship Agreements" and four ccTLD "MOUs." The page shows that eight of the ten agreements listed were entered after 2001.
- 12. Submitted concurrently as Exhibit 60 to VeriSign's Appendix of Exhibits is a true and correct copy of the testimony of Nancy J. Victory, Assistant Secretary of the Department of Commerce, before the United States Senate Subcommittee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, dated July 31, 2003, available at http://commerce.senate.gov/hearings/061102victory.pdf, in which she states that "much is still to be done" and that "ICANN needs to establish stable agreements with the country-code top-level domain operators."
- 13. In the July 31st hearing, the relevant portion of the transcript to which is submitted concurrently as Exhibit 60, Senator Conrad Burns stated to Secretary Victory "You pointed out in your testimony that developing agreements with country-code top-level domains such as .cn for China has been slow." Senator Burns stated "We've still got a lot of work to do." To which, Secretary Victory responded, "Yes, we do."

IV. ICANN'S FAILURE TO ESTABLISH AN INDEPENDENT REVIEW PANEL

14. Submitted concurrently as Exhibit 61 to VeriSign's Appendix of Exhibits is a true and correct copy of ICANN's bylaws in effect at the time the 2001 .com Registry Agreement was entered on May 25, 2001, dated July 16, 2000, available at http://www.icann.org/general/archive-bylaws/bylaws-16jul00.htm#III, in which ICANN states "The Initial Board shall, following solicitation of input from the

Advisory Committee on Independent Review and other interested parties and consideration of all such suggestions, adopt policies and procedures for independent third-party review of Board actions alleged by an affected party to have violated the Corporation's articles of incorporation or bylaws." (Exhibit 61 art. III § 4(b).)

- 15. Submitted concurrently as Exhibit 62 to VeriSign's Appendix of Exhibits is a true and correct copy of ICANN's current bylaws, dated October 13, 2003, available at www.icann.org/general/bylaws.htm, in which ICANN states "ICANN shall have in place a separate process for independent third-party review of Board actions alleged by an affected party to be inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws." (Exhibit 62 art. IV § 3(1).)
- 16. Submitted concurrently as Exhibit 63 to VeriSign's Appendix of Exhibits is a true and correct copy of Seventh Status Report Under ICANN/US Government Memorandum of Understanding, dated June 30, 2003, available at www.icann.org/general/status-report-30jun03.htm, in which ICANN states "During the second quarter, ICANN commenced a review of international arbitration providers that would be suitable to establish the Independent Review Panel."
- 17. Submitted concurrently as Exhibit 64 to VeriSign's Appendix of Exhibits is a true and correct copy of *Announcement: ICANN Announces Business Relationship*, dated March 26, 2004, available at www.icann.org/announcements/announcement2-26mar04.htm, in which ICANN states "ICANN is nearing agreement to secure the services of an independent evaluation firm."
- 18. Submitted concurrently as Exhibit 65 to VeriSign's Appendix of Exhibits is a true and correct copy of Report by ICANNN to United States Department of Commerce Re: Progress Toward Objectives of Memorandum of Understanding, dated April 7, 2004, available at www.icann.org/general/status-report-07apr04.pdf, in which ICANN states "ICANN has in recent weeks closed an arrangement with a third party arbitration service to provide services and fulfill the requirements of the Independent Review Panel." (Exhibit 65 at 6.)

2	2
3	3
4	ļ
5	;
6)
6 7	'
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	ļ
14	
15	
16	ĺ
17	
18	ĺ
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

- 19. Submitted concurrently as Exhibit 66 to VeriSign's Appendix of Exhibits is a true and correct copy of *Resolutions Adopted at Special ICANN Board Meeting*, dated April 19, 2004, available at http://www.icann.org/minutes/resolutions-19apr04.htm, in which the ICANN Board states "the President and the General Counsel are authorized to engage the services of the ICDR [International Centre for Dispute Resolution] to provide independent review services in accordance with their proposal" and "that the President and the General Counsel are authorized to continue soliciting and negotiating with additional independent arbitration service providers."
- 20. Submitted concurrently as Exhibit 67 to VeriSign's Appendix of Exhibits is a true and correct copy of a page from ICANN's website, titled *Registry Agreements*, available at http://www.icann.org/registries/agreements.htm, which lists the gTLD registry agreements into which ICANN has entered since 2001.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 29th day of April, 2004, at Dulles, Virginia.

THADDEUS MASON POPE

263094.1